

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/841,066	04/25/2001	Takaki Kameyama	35.G2798 5919			
5514	5514 7590 08/25/2005		EXAMINER			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			POKRZYWA	POKRZYWA, JOSEPH R		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•			2622			

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

								
Office Action Summan.		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/841,06	6	KAMEYAMA, TAKAKI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	,	Art Unit				
		Joseph R.		2622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 09 June 2005.						
· · · · ·	·	This action is n	on-final.					
3)	, <u> </u>							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are rejected.							
7)								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
40	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PT	ГО-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu				04			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of th application from the International E			o in this National	Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for		· · · ·	•d				
	200000000000000000000000000000000000000		copido not receive	<u> </u>				
Attachmen	k(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate	D-152\			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	3D/U0)	6) Other:	atent Application (PTC	J-132)			

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment was received on 6/9/05, and has been entered and made of record. Currently, claims 1-49 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. The rejection of claim 47, as cited in the Office action dated 3/9/05, under 35 U.S.C.101, is withdrawn, as it is overcome by the changes set forth in the amendment dated 6/9/05.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niikawa (U.S. Patent Number 6,668,134, cited in the Office action dated 3/9/05) in view of Dow *et al.* (U.S. Patent Number 6,396,518).

Regarding *claim 1*, Niikawa discloses an information processing system for transferring a data file between information processing apparatuses, each including a storage device (see Figs. 8 and 10), with the system comprising transmission-directory acquisition means for acquiring a number of transmission directories having each data file to be transmitted as a subordinate

Art Unit: 2622

directory (see Figs. 11(b)-13, and column 10, line 50-column 11, line 54), transmitted-directory acquisition means for acquiring the number of transmitted directories having each transmitted data file as a subordinate directory (see Figs. 12 and 13), and first generation means for generating a signal indicating a status of progress of transfer of data files (see Figs. 12 and 13), based on the number of transmission directories acquired by the transmission-directory acquisition means and the number of transmitted directories acquired by the transmitted-directory acquisition means (column 13, line 25-column 14, line 22).

However, Niikawa fails to expressly disclose if a display of the status of progress of transfer is updated continuously.

Dow discloses an information processing system for transferring a data file between information processing apparatuses, each including a storage device (column 5, line 50-column 6, line 30), with the system comprising generation means for generating a signal indicating a status of progress of transfer of data files (see Fig. 10, steps 192 and 168 in Fig. 9B, and steps 208 and 215 in Fig. 9C, column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22), wherein a display of the status of progress of transfer is updated continuously (see Fig. 10, steps 192 and 168 in Fig. 9B, and steps 208 and 215 in Fig. 9C, column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22).

Niikawa & Dow are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, being image capture systems that transmit image files. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include Dow's status display in the system of Niikawa. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that Niikawa's system would become more user-friendly with the addition of Dow's teachings, as the user would be able to see the progress of file transfers, as recognized by Dow in column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22.

Art Unit: 2622

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Dow with the system of Niikawa to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

Regarding *claim 2*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the system discussed above in claim 1, and Niikawa further teaches that the first generation means comprises calculation means for calculating a degree of progress from a comparison between the number of transmission directories and the number of transmitted directories (see D35 and D36 in Fig. 12, column 13, line 14-column 14, line 22).

Regarding *claim 3*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the system discussed above in claim 2, and Niikawa further teaches of display means for displaying the degree of progress (see Fig. 12).

Regarding *claim 4*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the system discussed above in claim 1, and Niikawa further teaches of an upper limit is set for a number of data files capable of being stored in each directory (column 13, lines 25-45).

Regarding *claim 5*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the system discussed above in claim 3, and Niikawa further teaches of a display of the degree of progress is updated every time transfer of all data files in one directory has been completed (see D35 and D36 in Fig. 12).

Regarding *claim* 6, Niikawa and Dow disclose the system discussed above in claim 1, and Niikawa further teaches of second generation means for generating a signal indicating a status of progress of data transfer based on a number of data files to be transmitted and a number of transmitted data files, wherein the first generation means and the second generation means are switchable (column 13, lines 46-column 14, line 22, and see Fig. 12).

Regarding *claim* 7, Niikawa and Dow disclose the system discussed above in claim 6, and Niikawa further teaches that switching between the first generation means and the second

Art Unit: 2622

generation means is performed in accordance with the number of transmission directories (column 13, lines 46-column 14, line 22, and see Fig. 12).

Regarding *claim 8*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the system discussed above in claim 6, and Niikawa further teaches that switching between the first generation means and the second generation means is performed in accordance with a display capability of a display device for displaying the status of transfer progress (column 13, lines 46-column 14, line 22, and see Fig. 12).

Regarding *claim 9*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the system discussed above in claim 1, and Niikawa further teaches of third generation means for generating a signal indicating a status of progress of data transfer based on a total amount of data of data files to be transmitted and a total amount of data of transmitted data files, wherein the first generation means and the third generation means are switchable (column 13, lines 46-column 14, line 22, and see Fig. 12).

Regarding *claim 10*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the system discussed above in claim 9, and Niikawa further teaches that switching between the first generation means and the third generation means is performed in accordance with the number of transmission directories (column 13, lines 46-column 14, line 22, and see Fig. 12).

Regarding *claim 11*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the system discussed above in claim 9, and Niikawa further teaches that switching between the first generation means and the third generation means is performed in accordance with a display capability of a display device for displaying the status of transfer progress (column 13, lines 46-column 14, line 22, and see Fig. 12).

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding *claim 12*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the system discussed above in claim 1, and Niikawa further teaches that a destination of the data-file transfer is a digital camera (see Figs. 1-5, 9(a), and 10).

Regarding *claim 13*, Niikawa discloses an information processing apparatus for transferring a data file between information processing apparatuses, each including a storage device (see Figs. 8 and 10), with the system comprising transmission-directory acquisition means for acquiring a number of transmission directories having each data file to be transmitted as a subordinate directory (see Figs. 11(b)-13, and column 10, line 50-column 11, line 54), transmitted-directory acquisition means for acquiring the number of transmitted directories having each transmitted data file as a subordinate directory (see Figs. 12-13, and column 10, line 50-column 11, line 54), and first generation means for generating a signal indicating a status of progress of transfer of data files (see Figs. 12 and 13), based on the number of transmission directories acquired by the transmission-directory acquisition means and the number of transmitted directories acquired by the transmission-directory acquisition means (column 13, line 25-column 14, line 22).

However, Niikawa fails to expressly disclose if a display of the status of progress of transfer is updated continuously.

Dow discloses an information processing apparatus for transferring a data file to an external apparatus including a storage device (column 5, line 50-column 6, line 30), with the apparatus comprising generation means for generating a signal indicating a status of progress of transfer of data files (see Fig. 10, steps 192 and 168 in Fig. 9B, and steps 208 and 215 in Fig. 9C, column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22), wherein a display of the status of progress of transfer is

Art Unit: 2622

updated continuously (see Fig. 10, steps 192 and 168 in Fig. 9B, and steps 208 and 215 in Fig. 9C, column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22).

Niikawa & Dow are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, being image capture systems that transmit image files. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include Dow's status display in the system of Niikawa. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that Niikawa's system would become more user-friendly with the addition of Dow's teachings, as the user would be able to see the progress of file transfers, as recognized by Dow in column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Dow with the system of Niikawa to obtain the invention as specified in claim 13.

Regarding *claim 14*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the apparatus discussed above in claim 13, and Niikawa further teaches that the generation means comprises calculation means for calculating a degree of progress from a comparison between the number of transmission directories and the number of transmitted directories (column 13, line 25-column 14, line 22).

Regarding *claim 15*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the apparatus discussed above in claim 14, and Niikawa further teaches of display means for displaying the degree of progress (see D35 and D36 in Fig. 12).

Regarding *claim 16*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the apparatus discussed above in claim 13, and Niikawa further teaches of image pickup means (see Figs. 1-5).

Regarding *claim 17*, Niikawa discloses an information processing apparatus for receiving a data file from an external apparatus including a storage device (see Figs. 8 and 10), the apparatus comprising transmission-directory acquisition means for acquiring a number of

Art Unit: 2622

transmission directories having each data file to be transmitted as a subordinate directory (see Figs. 11(b)-13, and column 10, line 50-column 11, line 54), transmitted-directory acquisition means for acquiring a number of transmitted directories having each transmitted data file as a subordinate directory (see Figs. 12-13, and column 10, line 50-column 11, line 54), and generation means for generating a signal indicating a status of progress of transfer of data files (see Figs. 12 and 13), based on the number of transmission directories acquired by the transmission-directory acquisition means and the number of transmitted directories acquired by the transmitted-directory acquisition means (column 13, line 25-column 14, line 22).

However, Niikawa fails to expressly disclose if a display of the status of progress of transfer is updated continuously.

Dow discloses an information processing apparatus for transferring a data file to an external apparatus including a storage device (column 5, line 50-column 6, line 30), with the apparatus comprising generation means for generating a signal indicating a status of progress of transfer of data files (see Fig. 10, steps 192 and 168 in Fig. 9B, and steps 208 and 215 in Fig. 9C, column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22), wherein a display of the status of progress of transfer is updated continuously (see Fig. 10, steps 192 and 168 in Fig. 9B, and steps 208 and 215 in Fig. 9C, column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22).

Niikawa & Dow are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, being image capture systems that transmit image files. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include Dow's status display in the system of Niikawa. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that Niikawa's system would become more user-friendly with the addition of Dow's teachings, as the user would be able to

Art Unit: 2622

see the progress of file transfers, as recognized by Dow in column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Dow with the system of Niikawa to obtain the invention as specified in claim 17.

Regarding *claim 18*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the apparatus discussed above in claim 17, and Niikawa further teaches that the generation means comprises calculation means for calculating a degree of progress from a comparison between the number of transmission directories and the number of transmitted directories (column 13, line 25-column 14, line 22).

Regarding *claim* 19, Niikawa and Dow disclose the apparatus discussed above in claim 18, and Niikawa further teaches of display means for displaying the degree of progress (see D35 and D36 in Fig. 12).

Regarding *claim* 20, Niikawa and Dow disclose the apparatus discussed above in claim 17, and Niikawa further teaches that a destination of data-file transfer is a digital camera (see Figs. 1-5).

Regarding *claim 21*, Niikawa discloses an information processing apparatus for transferring a data file between information processing apparatuses, each including a storage device (see Figs. 8 and 10), the system comprising first acquisition means for acquiring a capacity of use of a storage device of an information processing apparatus serving as a transfer source (see Figs. 11(b)-13, and column 10, line 50-column 11, line 54), second acquisition means for acquiring an amount of data whose transfer has been completed (see Figs. 12-13, and column 10, line 50-column 11, line 54), and calculation means for calculating a degree of progress based on a comparison between the capacity of use acquired by the first acquisition

means and the amount of data acquired by the second acquisition means (see Figs. 12 and 13, and column 13, line 25-column 14, line 22).

However, Niikawa fails to expressly disclose if a display of the status of progress of transfer is updated continuously.

Dow discloses an information processing system for transferring a data file between information processing apparatuses, each including a storage device (column 5, line 50-column 6, line 30), with the system comprising means for calculating a degree of progress of transfer of data files (see Fig. 10, steps 192 and 168 in Fig. 9B, and steps 208 and 215 in Fig. 9C, column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22), wherein a display of the status of progress of transfer is updated continuously (see Fig. 10, steps 192 and 168 in Fig. 9B, and steps 208 and 215 in Fig. 9C, column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22).

Niikawa & Dow are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, being image capture systems that transmit image files. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include Dow's status display in the system of Niikawa. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that Niikawa's system would become more user-friendly with the addition of Dow's teachings, as the user would be able to see the progress of file transfers, as recognized by Dow in column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Dow with the system of Niikawa to obtain the invention as specified in claim 21.

Regarding *claim 22*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the system discussed above in claim 21, and Niikawa further teaches that most of the capacity of use of the storage device of the

information processing apparatus, serving as the transfer source, is occupied by data to be transferred (column 10, line 51-column 11, line 54, and column 13, lines 1-58).

Regarding *claim 23*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the system discussed above in claim 21, and Niikawa further teaches that when transferring data at a time, first, the capacity of use of the storage device of the information processing apparatus, serving as the transfer source, is acquired (column 10, line 51-column 11, line 54, and column 13, lines 1-58).

Regarding *claim 24*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the system discussed above in claim 21, and Niikawa further teaches that the degree of progress is updated every time transfer of one data file has been completed (see D35 and D36 in Fig. 12).

Regarding *claim 25*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the system discussed above in claim 21, and Niikawa further teaches of display means for displaying the degree of progress (see D35 and D36 in Fig. 12).

Regarding *claim 26*, Niikawa discloses an image pickup system (see Figs. 1-5, 8, and 10) comprising an image pickup apparatus including a storage device (see Figs. 1-5), an information processing apparatus including a storage device (see Figs. 4 and 8), an information processing apparatus (see Figs. 4, 8, and 10), and a communication channel through which data can be transferred between the image pickup apparatus and the information processing apparatus (see Figs. 4, 8, and 10), wherein, when transferring image files within the storage device of the image pickup apparatus to the information processing apparatus at a time, a degree of progress based on a comparison between a total number of transmission directories having each image file to be transmitted as a subordinate directory and a total number of transmitted directories having each

Art Unit: 2622

transferred image file as a subordinate directory is displayed (column 13, line 25-column 14, line 22, see steps D35 and D36 in Fig. 12).

However, Niikawa fails to expressly disclose if a degree or progress is displayed continuously.

Dow discloses an image pickup system (column 5, line 50-column 6, line 30),

wherein, when transferring image files within a storage device of the image pickup apparatus to the information processing apparatus at a time, a degree of progress is displayed continuously (see Fig. 10, steps 192 and 168 in Fig. 9B, and steps 208 and 215 in Fig. 9C, column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22).

Niikawa & Dow are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, being image capture systems that transmit image files. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include Dow's status display in the system of Niikawa. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that Niikawa's system would become more user-friendly with the addition of Dow's teachings, as the user would be able to see the progress of file transfers, as recognized by Dow in column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Dow with the system of Niikawa to obtain the invention as specified in claim 26.

Regarding *claim 27*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the system discussed above in claim 26, and Niikawa further teaches that an upper limit is set for a number of data files stored in each directory (column 13, lines 25-58).

Regarding *claim 28*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the system discussed above in claim 26, and Niikawa further teaches that when transferring image files at a time, information relating to

directories stored in the storage device of the image pickup apparatus is acquired in advance (column 10, line 51-column 11, line 54, and column 13, lines 1-58), and a display of the degree of progress is updated every time transfer of all image files in one directory has been completed (column 13, line 25-column 14, line 22, see steps D35 and D36 in Fig. 12).

Regarding *claim 29*, Niikawa discloses an image pickup system (see Figs. 1-5, 8, and 10) comprising an image pickup apparatus including a storage device (see Figs. 1-5), an information processing apparatus including a storage device (see Figs. 4 and 8), an information processing apparatus (see Figs. 4, 8, and 10), and a communication channel through which data can be transferred between the image pickup apparatus and the information processing apparatus (see Figs. 4, 8, and 10), wherein, when transferring image data within the storage device of the image pickup apparatus to the information processing apparatus at a time, a degree of progress is displayed based on a comparison between a capacity of use of the storage device of the image pickup apparatus and an amount of transferred image data (column 13, line 25-column 14, line 22, see steps D35 and D36 in Fig. 12).

However, Niikawa fails to expressly disclose if a degree or progress is displayed continuously.

Dow discloses an image pickup system (column 5, line 50-column 6, line 30), wherein, when transferring image files within a storage device of the image pickup apparatus to the information processing apparatus at a time, a degree of progress is displayed continuously (see Fig. 10, steps 192 and 168 in Fig. 9B, and steps 208 and 215 in Fig. 9C, column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22).

Niikawa & Dow are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, being image capture systems that transmit image files. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include Dow's status display in the system of Niikawa. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that Niikawa's system would become more user-friendly with the addition of Dow's teachings, as the user would be able to see the progress of file transfers, as recognized by Dow in column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Dow with the system of Niikawa to obtain the invention as specified in claim 29.

Regarding *claim 30*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the system discussed above in claim 29, and Niikawa further teaches that most of the capacity of use of the storage device of the image pickup apparatus is occupied by image data (column 10, line 51-column 11, line 54, and column 13, lines 1-58).

Regarding *claim 31*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the system discussed above in claim 29, and Niikawa further teaches that when transferring image data at a time, the capacity of use of the storage device of the image pickup apparatus is acquired in advance (column 10, line 51-column 11, line 54, and column 13, lines 1-58).

Regarding *claim 32*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the system discussed above in claim 29, and Niikawa further teaches that the degree of progress is calculated and a display is updated every time transfer of one image file has been completed (column 13, line 25-column 14, line 22, see steps D35 and D36 in Fig. 12).

Regarding *claim 33*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the system discussed above in claim 29, and Dow further teaches that during transfer of image data, a total size of transferred image data

is calculated and a display is updated continuously (see Fig. 10, steps 192 and 168 in Fig. 9B, and steps 208 and 215 in Fig. 9C, column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22).

Niikawa & Dow are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, being image capture systems that transmit image files. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include Dow's status display in the system of Niikawa. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that Niikawa's system would become more user-friendly with the addition of Dow's teachings, as the user would be able to see the progress of file transfers, as recognized by Dow in column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Dow with the system of Niikawa to obtain the invention as specified in claim 33.

Regarding *claim 34*, Niikawa discloses an information processing method for transferring a data file between information processing apparatuses, each including a storage device (see Figs. 8 and 10), with the method comprising transmission-directory acquisition step of acquiring a number of transmission directories having each data file to be transmitted as a subordinate directory (see Figs. 11(b)-13, and column 10, line 50-column 11, line 54), transmitted-directory acquisition step of acquiring a number of transmitted directories having each transmitted data file as a subordinate directory (see Figs. 12 and 13), and a first generation step of generating a signal indicating a status of progress of transfer of data files (see Figs. 12 and 13), based on the number of transmission directories acquired in the transmission-directory acquisition step and the number of transmitted directories acquired in the transmitted-directory acquisition step (column 13, line 25-column 14, line 22).

Art Unit: 2622

However, Niikawa fails to expressly disclose if a display of the status of progress of transfer is updated continuously.

Dow discloses an information processing method for transferring a data file between information processing apparatuses, each including a storage device (column 5, line 50-column 6, line 30), with the method comprising a generation step of generating a signal indicating a status of progress of transfer of data files (see Fig. 10, steps 192 and 168 in Fig. 9B, and steps 208 and 215 in Fig. 9C, column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22), wherein a display of the status of progress of transfer is updated continuously (see Fig. 10, steps 192 and 168 in Fig. 9B, and steps 208 and 215 in Fig. 9C, column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22).

Niikawa & Dow are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, being image capture systems that transmit image files. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include Dow's status display in the system of Niikawa. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that Niikawa's system would become more user-friendly with the addition of Dow's teachings, as the user would be able to see the progress of file transfers, as recognized by Dow in column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Dow with the system of Niikawa to obtain the invention as specified in claim 34.

Regarding *claim 35*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the method discussed above in claim 34, and Niikawa further teaches that the first generation step comprises a calculation step of calculating a degree of progress from a comparison between the number of transmission directories and the number of transmitted directories (see D35 and D36 in Fig. 12, column 13, line 14-column 14, line 22).

Regarding *claim 36*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the method discussed above in claim 34, and Niikawa further teaches of a display control step of causing a display device to display the degree of progress (see Fig. 12).

Regarding *claim 37*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the method discussed above in claim 34, and Niikawa further teaches of an upper limit is set for a number of data files capable of being stored in each directory (column 13, lines 25-45).

Regarding *claim 38*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the method discussed above in claim 34, and Niikawa further teaches of a display of the degree of progress is updated every time transfer of all data files in one directory has been completed (see D35 and D36 in Fig. 12).

Regarding *claim 39*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the method discussed above in claim 34, and Niikawa further teaches of a second generation step of generating a signal indicating a status of progress of data transfer based on a number of data files to be transmitted and a number of transmitted data files, wherein the first generation step and the second generation step are switchable (column 13, lines 46-column 14, line 22, and see Fig. 12).

Regarding *claim 40*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the method discussed above in claim 39, and Niikawa further teaches that switching between the first generation step and the second generation step is performed in accordance with the number of transmission directories (column 13, lines 46-column 14, line 22, and see Fig. 12).

Regarding *claim 41*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the method discussed above in claim 39, and Niikawa further teaches that switching between the first generation step and the second generation step is performed in accordance with a display capability of a display device for

displaying the status of transfer progress (column 13, lines 46-column 14, line 22, and see Fig. 12).

Regarding *claim 42*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the method discussed above in claim 34, and Niikawa further teaches of a third generation step of generating a signal indicating a status of progress of data transfer based on a total amount of data of data files to be transmitted and a total amount of data of transmitted data files, wherein the first generation step and the third generation step are switchable (column 13, lines 46-column 14, line 22, and see Fig. 12).

Regarding *claim 43*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the method discussed above in claim 42, and Niikawa further teaches that switching between the first generation step and the third generation step is performed in accordance with the number of transmission directories (column 13, lines 46-column 14, line 22, and see Fig. 12).

Regarding *claim 44*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the method discussed above in claim 42, and Niikawa further teaches that switching between the first generation step and the third generation step is performed in accordance with a display capability of a display device for displaying the status of transfer progress (column 13, lines 46-column 14, line 22, and see Fig. 12).

Regarding *claim 45*, Niikawa and Dow disclose the method discussed above in claim 34, and Niikawa further teaches that a destination of the data-file transfer is a digital camera (see Figs. 1-5, 9(a), and 10).

Regarding *claim 46*, Niikawa discloses an information processing method for transferring a data file between information processing apparatuses, each including a storage device (see Figs. 8 and 10), with the method comprising a first acquisition step of acquiring a capacity of use

of a storage device of an information processing apparatus serving as a transfer source (see Figs. 11(b)-13, and column 10, line 50-column 11, line 54), a second acquisition step of acquiring an amount of data whose transfer has been completed (see Figs. 12-13, and column 10, line 50-column 11, line 54), and a display step of displaying a degree of progress based on a comparison between the capacity of use acquired in the first acquisition step and the amount of data acquired in the second acquisition step (see Figs. 12 and 13, and column 13, line 25-column 14, line 22).

However, Niikawa fails to expressly disclose if a degree or progress is displayed continuously.

Dow discloses an information processing method for transferring a data file between information processing apparatuses, each including a storage device (column 5, line 50-column 6, line 30), with the method comprising a display step of displaying continuously a degree of progress of transfer of data files (see Fig. 10, steps 192 and 168 in Fig. 9B, and steps 208 and 215 in Fig. 9C, column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22).

Niikawa & Dow are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, being image capture systems that transmit image files. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include Dow's status display in the system of Niikawa. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that Niikawa's system would become more user-friendly with the addition of Dow's teachings, as the user would be able to see the progress of file transfers, as recognized by Dow in column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Dow with the system of Niikawa to obtain the invention as specified in claim 46.

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding *claim 47*, Niikawa further discloses a computer-readable medium storing a program, capable of being executed by a computer, for realizing an information processing method according to any one of claims 34-46 (column 6, lines 59-63, and column 10, lines 32-38).

Regarding *claim 48*, Niikawa discloses an information processing method for sequentially processing a plurality of data files stored in a storage device, with the method comprising a processing-directory acquisition step of acquiring a number of processing directories having each data file to be transmitted as a subordinate directory (see Figs. 11(b)-13, and column 10, line 50-column 11, line 54), a processed-directory acquisition step of acquiring a number of processed directories having each processed data file as a subordinate directory (see Figs. 12-13, and column 10, line 50-column 11, line 54), and first generation step of generating a signal indicating a status of progress of processing of data files (see Figs. 12 and 13), based on the number of processing directories acquired in the processing-directory acquisition step and the number of processed directories acquired in the processed-directory acquisition step (column 13, line 25-column 14, line 22).

However, Niikawa fails to expressly disclose if a display of the status of progress of transfer is updated continuously.

Dow discloses an information processing method for transferring a data file between information processing apparatuses, each including a storage device (column 5, line 50-column 6, line 30), with the method comprising a generation step of generating a signal indicating a status of progress of transfer of data files (see Fig. 10, steps 192 and 168 in Fig. 9B, and steps 208 and 215 in Fig. 9C, column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22), wherein a display of the status of

Art Unit: 2622

progress of transfer is updated continuously (see Fig. 10, steps 192 and 168 in Fig. 9B, and steps 208 and 215 in Fig. 9C, column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22).

Niikawa & Dow are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, being image capture systems that transmit image files. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include Dow's status display in the system of Niikawa. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that Niikawa's system would become more user-friendly with the addition of Dow's teachings, as the user would be able to see the progress of file transfers, as recognized by Dow in column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Dow with the system of Niikawa to obtain the invention as specified in claim 48.

Regarding *claim 49*, Niikawa discloses an information processing method for sequentially processing a plurality of data files stored in a storage device (see Figs. 8 and 10), the method comprising a first acquisition step of acquiring a capacity of use of the storage device (see Figs. 11(b)-13, and column 10, line 50-column 11, line 54), a second acquisition step of acquiring an amount of data whose processing has been completed (see Figs. 12-13, and column 10, line 50-column 11, line 54), and a display step of displaying a degree of progress based on a comparison between the capacity of use acquired in the first acquisition step and the amount of data acquired in the second acquisition step (see Figs. 12 and 13, and column 13, line 25-column 14, line 22).

However, Niikawa fails to expressly disclose if a degree or progress is displayed continuously.

Art Unit: 2622

Dow discloses an information processing method for transferring a data file between information processing apparatuses, each including a storage device (column 5, line 50-column 6, line 30), with the method comprising a display step of displaying continuously a degree of progress of transfer of data files (see Fig. 10, steps 192 and 168 in Fig. 9B, and steps 208 and 215 in Fig. 9C, column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22).

Niikawa & Dow are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, being image capture systems that transmit image files. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include Dow's status display in the system of Niikawa. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that Niikawa's system would become more user-friendly with the addition of Dow's teachings, as the user would be able to see the progress of file transfers, as recognized by Dow in column 9, line 66-column 10, line 22. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Dow with the system of Niikawa to obtain the invention as specified in claim 49.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joe Pokrzywa whose telephone number is (571) 272-7410. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L. Coles can be reached on (571) 272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph R. Pokrzywa Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2622 Phys

jrp